Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

Original Updated	Corrected	Supplemental		
LRB Number 09-2012/1	Introduction Number A	B-0124		
Description Appellate procedure				
Fiscal Effect				
Appropriations R Decrease Existing D		- May be possible agency's budget \textsquare No		
Permissive Mandatory P 2. Decrease Costs 4. D	5.Types of Local Government Uni Towns ermissive Mandatory ecrease Revenue ermissive Mandatory Mandatory	ts Affected Village Cities Others WTCS Districts		
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS				
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date		
DA/ Phil Werner (608) 267-2700	Tom Herman (608) 266-0239	3/10/2009		

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DA 3/10/2009

LRB Number 09-2012/1	Introduction Number	AB-0124	Estimate Type	Original
Description				
Appellate procedure				

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

It is uncertain whether enactment of this bill would have a fiscal effect on DA Offices.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

It is uncertain if enactment of this bill would have a long-term fiscal impact on DA Offices.

Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

☑ Original □ Updated	Corrected Supp	olemental
LRB Number 09-2012/1	Introduction Number AB-01	24
Description Appellate procedure		
Fiscal Effect		
Appropriations Reve	ease Existing enues rease Existing enues To absorb within agen enues Decrease Costs	
Permissive Mandatory Perm 2. Decrease Costs 4. Decr	5.Types of Local Government Units Affe Towns Villa Towns Other Counties Other School WTC Districts Districts	ge Cities ers CS
Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS	Affected Ch. 20 Appropria	tions
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date
DOJ/ Mark Rinehart (608) 264-9463	Mark Rinehart (608) 264-9463	3/20/2009

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOJ 3/20/2009

LRB Number 09-2012/1	Introduction Number	AB-0124	Estimate Type	Original
Description				
Appellate procedure				

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The Department of Justice does not anticipate a fiscal impact due to the enactment of AB 124.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

Original Dpdated	☐ Corrected ☐ Supplemental
LRB Number 09-2012/1	Introduction Number AB-0124
Description Appellate procedure	
Fiscal Effect	
Appropriations Reve	ase Existing enues locate Existing enues enues To absorb within agency's budget locate Yes Decrease Costs
No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs	ease Revenue
Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS	Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations SEG SEGS
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature Date
SPD/ Mike Tobin (608) 266-8259	Krista Ginger (608) 264-8572 3/10/2009

Fiscal Estimate Narratives SPD 3/10/2009

LRB Number 09-2012/1	Introduction Number	AB-0124	Estimate Type	Original
Description				
Appellate procedure				

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The State Public Defender (SPD) is statutorily authorized and required to appoint attorneys to represent indigent defendants in criminal proceedings. The SPD plays a major role in ensuring that the Wisconsin justice system complies with the right to counsel provided by both the state and federal constitutions. Any legislation has the potential to increase SPD costs if it creates a new criminal offense, expands the definition of an existing criminal offense, or increases the penalties for an existing offense.

This bill does not provide for a new criminal offense, expand the definition of a criminal offense, or increase criminal penalties. The bill would amend and clarify the rules of appellate procedure regarding preservation of the right to appeal certain issues decided by the circuit court, as exceptions to the general rule that a guilty plea or similar resolution of a case waives the right to appeal from rulings on motions. Although the changes could affect the procedures followed in an individual case, it does not appear that the bill would affect the number of trial proceedings or post-conviction proceedings in which the SPD would appoint counsel. Further, it appears that any attorney additional time that might result in some cases might be offset by decreased attorney time spent in other cases. Therefore, the SPD does not anticipate any significant increase or decrease in its workload as a result of this proposal.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications